



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
04/19/2004	Henry Chen	HO0403PR	5369
7590 04/27/2005		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF LIAUH & ASSOCIATES		RAMIREZ, RAMON O	
SUITE 5-388 4224 WAIALAE AVENUE HONOLULU, HI 96816-5307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3632	
	04/19/2004 590 04/27/2005 E OF LIAUH & ASSO	04/19/2004 Henry Chen 590 04/27/2005 E OF LIAUH & ASSOCIATES E AVENUE	04/19/2004 Henry Chen HO0403PR 590 04/27/2005 EXAM E OF LIAUH & ASSOCIATES RAMIREZ, E AVENUE ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
	10/828,710	CHEN, HENRY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>April 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er. In accepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	o □i o	(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/828,710

Art Unit: 3632

Detailed Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 6 claims.

Drawings

The drawings filed Apr 19, 2004 have been accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear if Applicant intents to claim the subcombination of a hanger to be used with a metal rack, or the combination of the hanger and the metal rack. Claim 1 recites the former but claims 2 and 4 recite the latter. Claim 2 recites the distance of the engaging recess of the hanger with those of the metal rack; claim 4 recites the crossbar of the rack engaging the hanger. Applicant must either claim the metal rack as a positive element of the invention, or provide functional language making it clear that the rack is not a positive element of the invention. For the purpose of this Office Action, the metal rack is not considered to be a positive element of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,710

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Remmers (Pat No 5,531,416).

The patent to Remmers discloses a hanger (20) adapted to be used with a metal rack, the hanger comprising a hook portion (40), and engaging recess (14), a supporting seat (16) having an upper opening and a curved seat for receiving a crossbar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Remmers.

To make the inner lower end of the engaging recess slighter smaller than its outer lower end is considered to be an obvious matter of engineering choice to for example provide a cradle to better receive the rack.

Art Unit: 3632

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jennings et al. (5,639,049) and Davis et al. (5,910,351) show other devices of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-6821. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESLIE BRAUN can be reached on (571) 272-6815.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,710

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action.

ROR April 19, 2005 RAMON O. RAMIR Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3632